

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Find } |A|.$$

How to apply Rule F correctly?

The value of the determinant is unchanged if a multiple of one row is added to a different row.

I will start from

$$\begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 \end{vmatrix} = - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 1 & 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} R_2 \leftrightarrow R_3 \\ \text{Rule D} \end{array} \quad \text{this is correct.}$$

$$= - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 1 & 0 & -1 \end{vmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} R_2 \leftarrow -\frac{1}{2}R_1 + R_2 \\ -1 \quad -\frac{1}{2} \quad -\frac{3}{2} \\ 1 \quad 2 \quad 5 \end{array} \quad \text{this is now correct.}$$

$$= - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{5}{2} \end{vmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} R_3 \leftarrow -\frac{1}{2}R_1 + R_3 \\ -1 \quad -\frac{1}{2} \quad -\frac{3}{2} \\ 1 \quad 0 \quad -1 \end{array} \quad \text{this is now correct.}$$

$$= - \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{7}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{4}{3} \end{vmatrix} = -(2 \times \frac{3}{2}) \left(-\frac{4}{3}\right) = 4$$

$$\begin{array}{l} R_3 \leftarrow \frac{1}{3}R_2 + R_3 \\ 0 \quad \frac{1}{2} \quad \frac{7}{6} \\ 0 \quad -\frac{1}{2} \quad -\frac{5}{2} \end{array}$$

Why is my application of Rule F incorrect earlier in class?

Consider what I have done: Replace R_2 with $-2R_2 + R_1$ on a 2×2 matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

Apply $R_2 \leftarrow -2R_2 + R_1$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ -2a_{21} + a_{11} & -2a_{22} + a_{12} \end{vmatrix} &= a_{11}(-2a_{22} + a_{12}) - a_{12}(-2a_{21} + a_{11}) \\ &= -2a_{22}a_{11} + a_{11}a_{12} + 2a_{12}a_{21} - a_{12}a_{11} \\ &= -2(a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}) \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, the result is not the same as $\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix}!$

$$= a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21}$$

Therefore, I applied Rule F incorrectly!

A correct application would be $R_2 \leftarrow R_2 - 2R_1$ for example.

$$\text{Is } \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{vmatrix} \text{ equal to } \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ -2a_{11} + a_{21} & -2a_{12} + a_{22} \end{vmatrix}?$$

"

$$\begin{aligned} &a_{11}(-2a_{12} + a_{22}) - a_{12}(-2a_{11} + a_{21}) \\ &= -2a_{11}a_{12} + a_{11}a_{22} + 2a_{12}a_{11} - a_{12}a_{21} \\ &= a_{11}a_{22} - a_{12}a_{21} \end{aligned}$$

Yes!

This is also a big difference between the handling of row operations in Section 15.6 and Theorem 16.4.1 Rule F.